## Add project label here

Judge's initials

Scoring guide: 0 = Content is missing or inaccurate. Appears that work was not done by student. 1 or 2 = Content present, more detail needed.

3 = Some parts of project missing.4 = Project element is fully addressed, as appropriate for grade level. 6 = All parts of multi-section project element are present and fully addressed.

Description of Criteria For Engineering Projects	Project Elements		Points Awarded (Circle)			
Project title is relevant.	Title	0		2	4	
Engineering problem and educated prediction are supported by facts.	Engineering Problem, Goals	0		2	4	
Materials list, including amounts used and specific descriptions of materials, is present.	Materials	0		2	4	
Procedures clearly describe process. Evidence that iterative process was used, and was based on test results. A control was used when applicable.	Procedure	0		2	4	
Project constraints are clearly stated.	Constraints	0		2	4	
Data tables and graphs support procedures and stated results. Labels, titles, and units found on tables and graphs. Metric units used.	Data and Data Analysis	0	2	4	6	
Is the testable question answered or engineering goal met?  Does the data support the stated conclusion?	Conclusion	0		1	2	

Display board is organized, neat, and well planned. Photos, diagrams, and graphics are used to describe the work.	Display Appearance	0		1	2
Includes all research relating to project, entries describe work throughout experiment, challenges, errors, unexpected results. Entries have dates.	Lab Journal	0	2	4	6
250-word summary about the project required for students in <b>grades 6 - 12.</b> This might be on the board or on the table.	Abstract	0		2	4
Project reflections strong critical thinking skills	Critical Thinking	0		2	4
TOTAL POINTS FOR PROJECT BOARD			/4	4	

Comments:		Tur	n over to complete score
			student interview.
	R I	u <del>bric -</del>	111119P 11 111 1P1 81 111 87
			Judge (circle) #1 OI #2

Scoring guide:

0 = Limited or inaccurate explanation given 1 = Good level of knowledge, based on grade level 2 = Excellent knowledge of the project process

Judge's initials

Description of Criteria			ts Awaı (Circle)	Awarded Circle)		
Student(s) explains why they chose their topic.	Origin of project topic	0	1	2		
Student(s) describes what was being tested and how the test was designed.	Explanation of project's procedures.	0	1	2		
Student(s) accurately explains test results and data.	Data analysis	0	1	2		

Student(s) discusses what challenges arose with the project, Possible unexpected results or set-backs, and how these were addressed.	Discussion of project challenges, unexpected results	0	1	2
Student(s) explains what was learned.	Interpretation of results	0	1	2
Student(s) discusses the project without reading from the display board. Student(s) explains the work, using language appropriate for grade level. Adults do not add comments or prompt students.	Students show a genuine understanding of the project	0	1	2
Student(s) makes eye contact, speaks clearly, shows enthusiasm for the project.	Presentation skills	0	1	2
TOTAL POINTS FOR INTERVIEW		/14		

## **TURN OVER AND SCORE PROJECT BOARD**

Total Points =	Judge 1 Total	Judge 2 Total	Average	Award recommendation (circle)		
Display Board				<b>1</b> st	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	
+ Interview				3 <sup>rd</sup>	НМ	

Recommended for Best of Show award?
Yes No

1st place: 52 - 58 points  $2^{nd}$  place: 46 - 51  $3^{rd}$  place: 40 - 45 Honorable Mention:  $\leq 39$